



Preston Byrne [REDACTED]

---

**Fwd: Provisional Decision: Investigation into 4chan Community Support LLC's failure to comply with two statutory information requests**

---

Preston Byrne [REDACTED]

Sat, Aug 16, 2025 at 8:50 AM

[REDACTED]

Sirs

This law firm and the Coleman Law Firm, P.C. (copied) represent 4Chan.

On behalf of our client, this law firm makes the following representations:

4chan is an American company with no establishment, assets, or operations in the United Kingdom. Its business operates fully in compliance with U.S. law and its right to operate its business without state interference is protected by law, including the First Amendment.

The UK is not the first country to attempt to censor American companies on American soil with the threat of fines. When Germany tried to serve an American company with regulatory fine notices, notices not dissimilar to your own, under the NetzDG, the German BfJ at least displayed a modicum of respect for American sovereignty by using the MLAT procedure. Your agency sent e-mail.

You may be interested to learn that, to our knowledge, no NetzDG penalty against an American company with no offshore nexus has ever been enforced in the United States, nor has American compliance with the NetzDG's provisions ever been involuntarily compelled, in nearly eight years of attempts. Settled U.S. law tells us that no U.S. court will enforce such orders.

Americans do not lose their constitutional rights because you send them e-mail. Our client does not concede jurisdiction and does not accept service.

We trust that the above should be sufficient for you to close your file.

We copy relevant staff within the U.S. federal government on this reply.

Our client reserves all rights and waives none.

Preston Byrne [REDACTED]

On Tue, Aug 12, 2025 at 11:54 A [REDACTED]

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]